

IPC-1710A

OEM Standard for Printed Board Manufacturers' Qualification Profile

Developed by the OEM council of the IPC, the MQP sets the standard for assessing PWB manufacturers capabilities and allows PWB manufacturers to more easily satisfy customer requirements.

IPC-1710A May 2004

A standard developed by IPC

NOTICE

IPC standards and publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or non-member of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publications, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

The material in this standard was developed by the OEM Council of the Institute for Interconnecting and Packaging Electronic Circuits.

© Copyright 2004. IPC, Northbrook, Illinois. All rights reserved under both international and Pan-American copyright conventions.

May 2004 IPC-1710A FOREWORD

It is not intended that this Manufacturers' Qualification Profile (MQP) satisfies all the requirements of the customer, however, conscientious maintenance of this document and or registration to ISO 9000 requirements should satisfy the major concerns. Thus, audits should be simpler, required less frequently, and facilitate less paper work as customers and suppliers work closer to meeting each others needs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The IPC is indebted to the members of the OEM council who participated in the development of this document. A note of thanks is also expressed to the members of the IPC Presidents Council for their review and critique and construction recommendations in finalizing the principles developed for the MQP.

Although the IPC is grateful for all the involvement and individual contributions made in completing the MQP a special acknowledgment is extended to the following individuals. It was their dedication and foresight that made this publication possible.

Don Noel

Mario Suarez-Solis

Digital Equipment Corp Northern Telecom Harris Corp. - Computer Sys. Div Encore Computer Corp. **Patrick Bernardi** Sue Jones **Rick Smith Gordon Wolfram** IBMWilcox Electric Compaq Computer Corp. Raytheon Company **Vernon Brown** Chuck Krzesicki **Peter Solecky** Jerald G. Rosser Honeywell Avionics Division Motorola, Inc. *IBM* Hughes Missile Operations Div. **Don Holt Thomas Kurtz** Joseph F. Sterba Jamie Zanios Texas Instruments Hughes Defense Communications Honeywell, Inc. Wellborn Industries Ltd.

Rudolfo Archbold

Rick lantaffi

CONTENTS

Secti	ons:	Pages:
1.1	Company Description	1
1.2	Site Description	2
2.1	Process	3-4
2.2	Electrical Test Equipment	5-7
2.3	Product Type	8-10
2.4	Product Complexity	11-14
2.5	Quality Development	15-16
3.0	Equipment Profile	17-21
	Master Equipment Listing	22
4.0	Technology Profile Specifics	23-30
5.0	Quality Profile	31-41
6.0	Manufacturing History	42
7.0	Identification of Previous Audits	43
8.0	Financial Review	44
9.0	MQP Electronic Editing	45

SECTION 1.1

David Denman

COMPANY DESCRIPTION

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	

GENERAL INFORMATION					_		
LEGAL NAME							
SAE Circuits Colorado, Inc.							
PHYSICAL ADDRESS							
4820 N. 63 rd Street, Suite 100							
CITY		STATE		ZIP			
Boulder		CO		80301			
PROVINCE		COUNTRY					
		USA					
TELEPHONE NUMBER		FAX NUMBER		TELEX NU	IMBER		
303-530-1900		303-530-0210					
E-MAIL ADDRESS	MODEM NUM	BER	DATE	FOUNDED	1972		
sales@saecircuits.com				PUBLIC	☑ PRIVATE		
INTERNET URL	•	FTP SITE					
www.saecircuits.com		ftp1.saecircuits.com (Call	for log	gin proced	dures)		
				_			
MANAGEMENT							
PRESIDENT/CHAIRMAN							
Ben Yates							
VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS							
Stephen Cecil							
MANUFACTURING MANAGER							
David Denman							
DIRECTOR OF QUALITY							
Gary Warner							
DIRECTOR OF MARKETING/SALES							
Jack Jeffries							
CUSTOMER SERVICE							
Maria Garcia & Spencer Hammen							
WASTE TREATMENT MANAGER (ROLLLITION DREVEN	VITION)						

CORPORATE		NUMBER OF E	EMPLOYEES	
DESCRIPTION		CORPORATE	SITE	COMMENTS
DESIGN AND DEVEL	OPMENT		0	
ENGINEERING MANUFACTURING CONTROL			5	FOH
			2	Production & Manufactruing Managers
MANUFACTURING	DIRECT		25	
	INDIRECT		6	EHS, Maintenance, Lab
QUALITY CONTROL	QUALITY INSPECTORS		4	
	INTERNAL AUDITORS		0	SUBCONTRACTED
	GENERAL MANAGEMENT		1	
ADMINISTRATION/SALES			6	
тот	AL		49	

SECTION 1.2

SITE DESCRIPTION

(TO BE COMPLETED FOR EACH SITE)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{ date completed } & 06/15/2015 \\ \text{ attach appropriate charts (optional)} \end{array}$

SHED	ESU	XIP I	IION		`					- ,						- (
MANUFA	ACTUF	RING	FACI	LITY													
COMPAN	Y NAME		SAN	ЛE													
PHYSICAL	ADDR	RESS	SAN	ЛE													
CITY	SAME	,						STA	TE	SA	AME			ZI	P SA	ME	
PROVINC								COL			AME			1			
TELEPHO		MBFI	R SAN	ЛE						MBER	SAM	E		TF	ELEX		
E-MAIL AD						MODEN	I NII II			ME	57 117	1	ARS IN			2	41
INTERNET		<u> </u>	SAMI	7		IVIODLIV	INOI	FTP		SAME			110 111	ВО	SINLOC	,	71
PRINCIPLE F		TS/SF			I TIES		l P	BUSINESS		_	IZATION	l (HIGH	VOLUME	OLUC	K THRN-4	ROLIN	ID ETC.)
I KINOII EE I	RODGO	/10/0L	.ivioLo/c	JI LOI/	LIILO		٦	OONVEOO	011/	WAO I EI	iiZA I IOI	1 (111011	VOLOIVIL,	QUIC	it TOITIV-	11001	v D, L10.)
Printed Circ	cuit Boar	rds					N	Medium	. Vo	lume v	zith Ou	iick 7	Turn Ca	nab	ilities		
EA OULIE	V 1 4 4 1	14.0		-													
FACILIT'					uo ou								REPO			ınction	/Job Title)
OVERALL OF		NRESE	PONSIBILI	IY FOR	THIS SIT	IE VP,	Ope	rations					Owner	/Cha	airman		
Stephen Cecil MANUFACTURING/TECHNICAL/ENGINEERING		Mar	nıfacı	turing M	lana	ger			VP, Op	erati	ons						
David Denman			14141	iuiac	turing iv	Tana	gei			v 1, Op	crati	Olis					
DIRECTOR (KETING	G/SALES			Nati	onal	Sales M	lanag	ger			VP, Op	erati	ons		
Jack Jeffri		- 1 0 1 1 1	EED/0110	TED						-							
TECHNICAL Stelios An			EER/QUO	IEK		Tec	nnica	l Sales I	Engi	neer/Qu	oter		Nationa	ıl Sal	les Mar	nagei	•
PRODUCTIO		anis				Dro	Production Manager Manufac				Ifacturing Manager						
Dave Beal			1100	1 Todaction Wanager				Cturi	aring wanager								
QUALITY			Dire	Director, QA VP, Operations													
Gary Warr																	
SALES REPR Maria Gar			Цатта	n		Insi	Inside Sales & Customer Service National Sales Manager										
WASTE MAN			Trainine	11		EH	EHS Supervisor Manufacturing Manager				r						
Sergio Gar						Lili	Lins Supervisor Wandacturing Wanager				I						
BUILDIN	GS								S`	YSTEN	IS (IND	ICATE	% COVE	ERAG	iE)		
		AGE	AREA		nstructio			Heating		Ventilation		Air ditioning	Sprinkl		Waste Treatme		Other
Office			(Sq. Ft.) 2500		ood/Brick crete	10		100	,	100		100	100		0	5111	Other
Manufacturi	na		32000		crete	4		100		100		100	50		45		
Storage	9		1500	_	crete	()	100		100		100	0		0		
Planned																	
additions		DEC			A O E I	VOV DI	-OLI		NI	-0							
SAFETY Are fire extino				JRY	AGEI		_	hat is the			noaroct						
accessible to	employe	es?						e station?			Ticarest			2	2 Minute	es	
Do you confo	rm to loca	al/fede	ral enviror)-	⊠ YES	S □ NC		ate of last of last I					y 13, 200				
Ment protecti					☐ YES	S 🛭 NC	Ot	her Agenc	y Au	dits, UL,			ne 16, 200 UL# <u>E440</u>		⊠ iso	9001#	± <u>04106711</u>
or in violation	of local g						IS	O 9000, N	ÉCQ	, CŚA Ap	oroval		CSA#		⊠ Oth		ITAR
requirements Do you have		prograi	m?			S DNC		id Number azardous V		e Number		CO	D 058158	3775			
Describe belo		9. 3	<u>-</u>					ade Waste			ber	N/A		•			
PLANT PE	RSON	NEL_	(TOTAL	EMP <u>L</u>	OYEE <u>S</u>												
Regular	Contrac		Office		nical/	Productio	n F	ull-Time	Pai	rt-Time	Union		Non-	U	nion	(Contract
-				Engin	eering			QA		QA		\perp	Union	N	ame	Exp	oires (Date)
49	0		6		5	33		5		0	0		49				
COMMENTS																	

SECTION 2.1 PROCESS

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the processes used to fabricate printed board products.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Conductor Forming Processes	⊠Subtractive	
		☐Thin Foil Subtractive less than .5 oz.	
		□Semi-Additive	
		⊠Additive (Electro-less)	
		□Black Hole	
		☐Thick Film Paste and Fire	
		☐Thin Film Semi-conductor Sputtering	
		□Other:	
В	PTH Materials and Processes	⊠Acid Copper	
		☐Pyro-Phosphate Copper	
		□Full Built Electro-Less	
		☐Gold Paste	
		□Copper Paste	
		☐Gold Conductor Sputtering	
		□Nickel Conductor Sputtering	
		☐Other:	
С	Permanent Over-plating	⊠Tin	
		□Tin-Lead	
		☐Tin-Nickel Alloy	
		⊠Nickel	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Hard)	ENIG (Reliavia™)
		⊠Nickel Gold (Soft)	Ervic (Reliavia)
		□Nickel Rhodium	
		☐Conductive Polymer	
		☑Other: Silver	

IPO	C-1710A		May 2004
D	Permanent Selective Plating	□Tin	
		□Tin-Lead	
		☐Tin-Nickel Alloy	
		⊠Nickel	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Hard)	ENIG (Reliavia TM)
		⊠Nickel Gold (Soft)	Ervio (Renavia)
		□Nickel Rhodium	
		⊠Other: Silver	
Е	Permanent Mask or Coating	☐Photo Dry Film	
		⊠Photo Liquid	
		☐Image Transfer Screen Mask	
		☐Conformal Coating Solder Mask	
		□Cover Coat	
		□Other:	
F	Other Surface Finishes	☐Tin-Lead Fused	
		⊠Immersion Tin	Subcontracted
		⊠Solder Leveled	
		□Roll Soldered	
		□Electro-less Solder Fused	
		□Solder Bumped Lands □Solder Paste Fused	
		□ Azole Organic Protective Covering	
		☐Flux Protective Covering	

☑Other: Silver

SECTION 2.2ELECTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the test equipment and testing capability of the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check the column that applies furthest to the right.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Number of Nets	□<200	
		□200	
		□500	
		□1000	
		□2000	
		□3000	
		□4000	
		□5000	
		⊠>5000	
		□Other:	
В	Number of Nodes	□<500	
		□500	
		□1000	
		□2000	
		□3000	
		□4000	
		□5000	
		□6000	
		⊠>6000	
		□Other:	
С	Probe Point Pitch	□>1.0 [.040]	
		□1.0 [.040]	
		□0.8 [.032]	
		□0.65 [.025]	
		□0.50 [.020]	FIXTURED TEST
		⊠0.40 [.016]	
		□0.30 [.012]	
		□0.20 [.008]	ELVING BRODE
		⊠<0.20 [.008]	FLYING PROBE
		□Other:	
1		1	

-11 0	1/10/1	T	Triuy 2
D	Test % Single Pass	□None	
		□<60%	
		□60%	
		□70%	
		□80%	
		□90%	
		□95%	
		□99%	
		⊠100%	
		□Other:	
Е	Probe Accuracy (DTP)	□>0.2 [.008]	
		□0.2 [.008]	
		□0.15 [.006]	
		□0.125 [.005]	
		□0.1 [.004]	
		⊠0.075 [.003]	
		□<0.075 [.003]	
		Other:	
F	Grid Density	⊠Single Side Grid	
		⊠Double Sided Grid – SINGLE DENSITY	
		□Double Density Grid	
		☐Double Density Double Sided	
		☐Quad Density	
		□Double Sided Quad Density	
		⊠Flying Probe	
		□Other:	
G	Netlist Capability	⊠Golden Board	
		⊠IPC-D-356	
		⊠Net List Extraction	
		⊠CAD/CAM Net List Compare	
		□Other:	
		1	l l

Ma	y 2004		IPC-17	10A
Н	Test Voltage	□<20 VDC		
		□20 VDC		
		⊠40 VDC		
		□60 VDC		
		□80 VDC		
		⊠100 VDC		
		⊠500 VDC		
		⊠1000 VDC		
		□>1000 VDC ☑ Other: 250 VDC		
J	Impedance Meas	⊠Micro Section		
		□Inboard Circuit		
		⊠Coupon		
		⊠Manual TDR		
		☐Automated TDR		
		□Other:		
K	Impedance Tolerance	□None		
		□>20%		
		□20%		
		□15%		
		⊠10%		
		□7%		
		□5%		
		□2%		
		☐<2%		

☐Other:

SECTION 2.3 PRODUCT TYPE

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	
0 0, 20, 20	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the printed board product types being fabricated by the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Product Type	⊠Rigid Printed Board	
		□Flex Printed Board	
		□Rigid/Flex Board	
		⊠Rigid Back Plane	
		☐Molded Product	
		⊠Ceramic Printed Board	
		☐Multichip Module	
		Liminated Multichip Module	
		☐Deposited Dielectric Multichip Modules	
		□Other:	
В	Circuit Mounting Type	⊠Single Sided	
		⊠Double Sided	
		⊠Multilayer	24 Layers Maximum
		☐Single-sided Bonded to Substrate	
		□Double-sided Bonded to Substrate	
		⊠Multilayer Bonded to Substrate	
		☐Constrained Multilayer	
		□Distributed Plane Multilayer	
		☑Other: Aluminum Substrate	
	Via Technology	□No-Vias	
С	via rechnology		
		⊠Thru Hole Vias	
		⊠Buried Vias	
		⊠Blind Vias	
		☑Thru Hole & Blind Vias]	
		⊠Thru Hole & Buried Vias	
		⊠Thru Hole Buried & Blind Vias	
		⊠Buried & Blind Vias	
		Other:	
		•	

May 2004 IPC-1710A Laminate Material ⊠Phenolic ☐Epoxy Paper ☐Modified Epoxy Composite ☐Polyimide Film & Reinforce ☐Cynanate Ester ⊠Teflon ☑Other: ARLON & ROGERS RF MATL Core Material ■No Core Е ⊠Polymer **⊠**Copper \boxtimes Aluminum ☐Graphite ☐Copper Invar/Copper ☐Copper Moly/Copper Other: ☐1/8 Minimum Copper Thickness (Oz.) F ⊠3/8 Minimum ☐6-9 Max □>10 Other: Construction ⊠≤4 Planes G ⊠>4 Planes ☑THK to TOL ≤0.2 mm ☐THK to TOL >0.2 mm

☑Bow/Twist ≤1%☐Bow/Twist >1%

☐Other:

≤0.3 mm Profile Tolerance□0.3 mm Profile Tolerance

9

IPC	-1710A		May	y 2004
Н	Coatings and Markings	⊠≤0.1 mm Mask Clearance		
		□>0.1 mm Mask Clearance		
		⊠One Side (Legend)		
		⊠Two Side (Legend)		
		⊠None (Legend)		
		⊠UL Material Logo		
		⊠U.L. V₀ Logo		
		□U.L. V ₁ Logo		
		□U.L. V₂Logo		
		☐ Other:		

SECTION 2.4PRODUCT COMPLEXITY

This section is intended to provide overview information on product complexity being fabricated by the manufacturer.

(Please check the column that applies farthest to the right)

Designators			Remarks		
Α	Board Size Diagonal	□<250 [10.00]			
		□250 [10.00]			
		□350 [14.00]			
		☐450[17.50]			
		□550 [21.50]			
		☐650 [25.50]			
		⊠750 [29.50]			
		□850 [33.50]			
		□>850 [33.50]			
		□Other:			
В	Total Board Thickness	□1,0 [.040]			
		□1,0 [.040]			
		□1,6 [.060]			
		□2,0 [.080]			
		□2,5 [.100]			
		⊠3,5 [.135]			
		⊠5,0 [.200]			
		□6,5 [.250]			
		□>6,5 [.250]			
		□Other:			
С	Number Conductive Layers	⊠1-4			
		⊠5-6			
		⊠7-8			
		⊠9-12			
		⊠13-16			
		⊠17-20	24 LAYERS MAXIMUM		
		⊠21-24	Z. Z.I ZKO WI MINIOW		
		□25-28			
		□>28			
		Other:			

Other:

May 2004 IPC-1710A Internal Layer Conductor Width □>0,250 [.010] (Min) □0,250 [.010] □0,200 [.008] **□**0,150 [.006] **□**0,125 [.005] **□**0,100 [.004] ⊠0,075 [.003] □0,050 [.002] **□**<0,050 [.002] ☐Other: **]**>0,100 [.004] Internal Layer Process J Allowance □0,100 [.004] □0,075 [.003] □0,050 [.002] □0,040 [.0015] □0,030 [.0012] ⊠0,025 [.001] □0,020 [.0008] □<0,020 [.0008] Other: External Layer Clearance (Min) □>0,350 [.014] Κ □0,350 [.014] □0,250 [.010] □0,200 [.008] □0,150 [.006] **□**0,125 [.005] ⊠0,100 [.004] □0,075 [.003] □<0,075 [.003] ☐Other:

IPC	C-1710A		Λ	May 2004
L	External Layer Conductor Width (Min)	□>0,250 [.010]		
	Wall (Will)	□0,250 [.010]		
		□0,200 [.008]		
		□0,150 [.006]		
		□0,125 [.005]		
		⊠0,100 [.004]		
		□0,075 [.003]		
		□0,050 [.002]		
		□<0,050 [.002]		
		☐Other:		
М	External Layer Process Allowance	□>0,100 [.004]		
		□0,100 [.004]		
		□0,075 [.003]		
		□0,050 [.002]		
		□0,040 [.0015]		
		□0,030 [.0012]		
		⊠0,025 [.001]		
		□0,020 [[.0008]		
		□<0,020 [.0008]		
		☐Other:		
	5 / / / DTD			
N	Feature Location DTP	□>0,50 [.020] 		
		□0,50 [.020]		
		□0,40 [.016]		
		□0,30 [.012]		
		□0,25 [.010]		
		□0,20 [.008]		
		⊠0,15 [.006]		
		□0,10 [.004]		
		□<0,10 [.004]		
		□Other:		

All Dimensions are in millimeters [inches shown in brackets]

SECTION 2.5QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

DATE COMPLETED	
DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	
00/13/13	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the quality systems in place in the manufacturing facility.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Strategic Plan	☐Functional Steering Committee Formed	
		☑TQM Plan & Philosophy Established & Published	
		□Documented Quality Progress Review	
		☐Implementation & review of Project Team Recommendations	
		⊠Controlled New process Start-up	
		⊠Management Participates in TQM Audits	
		□Employee Recognition Program	
		☐Total TQM Plan/Involvement Customer Training	
		□Other:	
В	Employee Involvement	Certified Training Available	
		☑Training of Employee Base	
		☐TQM Team Trained	
		☐Design of Experiment Training and Use	
		⊠New Process Implementation Training	
		□Support Personnel Training	
		☐Advanced Statistical Training	
		☐Quality Functional Deployment	
		⊠Ongoing Improvement Program for Employees	
		Other:	
С	Quality Manual	Quality Manual Started	
		☐Generic Quality Manual for Facility	
		☐10% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐25% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐50% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐Non-manufacturing Manuals Developed	
		☐25% of all departments have quality manuals	
		☐50% of all departments have quality manuals	
		□Other:	

SECTION 3

EQUIPMENT PROFILE (Pre-Site Audit

DATE COMPLETED	
DATE COM LETED	
06/15/15	
00/13/13	

* Examples of equipment limitations include: min/max board size & min/max working area

		1				v board oize a minymax working area
3.1	PHOTOTOOL CAPABILITY	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	OTY	EGHPMENT LIMITS
	A) AOI of phototool	\boxtimes		Mania (Titan T8000)	1	
	B) AOI CAD reference (CAM)			LAVENIR & GENESIS		
	C) Photoplotting	\boxtimes		BARCO	1	
	D) Photo reductions	\boxtimes				
	E) Film scan and conversion					
	F) Film processing ☐ air-dried ☐ force-dried ☐ processed in automatic processor	\boxtimes		BARCO	1	
	G) Media types ⊠ silver halide film ☐ glass ⊠ diazo	\boxtimes				
3.2	DRILLING EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	477	EGGPMENT LIMITS
	A) Manual					
	B) Optical (single spindle)					
	C) N.C. drill			EXCELLON MARK VI EXCELLON HVP	2 2	
3.3	ROUTING EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	HOLIPMENT	6 77	HOLIPMINT LIMITS
	A) Edge beveler			BARNABY	1	
	B) Hand router (pin router)		\boxtimes			
	C) N.C. router		\boxtimes			
	D) N.C. driller/router			EXCELLON MARK V	3	
	E) Scoring (profile)					
	F) Scoring (straight line)			ACCUSCORE AS-200-JM	1	JUMP SCORE CAPABILITIES

IF C-1	1/10A					May 2004
3.4	MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	QTY	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Punch press					
	B) Shear	\boxtimes		PEXTO	1	
	C) Milling machine					
3.5	HOLE PREPARATION (DESMEAR)	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	GTY	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Permagnate	\boxtimes		IPS 6034	1	NEW FEB 2007
	B) Plasma		\boxtimes			
	C) Mechanical		\boxtimes			
	D) Etchback		\boxtimes			
3.6	PRIMARY IMAGE APPLICATION	YES	NO	EGUIPMENT	Q IV	EGUPMENT LIMITS
3.0	A) Dry film					
	7.y 2.y					
	B) Hand screening					
	C) Machine screening		\boxtimes			
	D) Wet film		\boxtimes			
	E) Liquid photoimageable					
3.7	TYPE OF TREATMENT FOR MULTILAYER INNERLAYERS	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	GTV	EGUIFMENT LIMITS
	A) Black oxide					
	B) Red oxide		\boxtimes			
	C) Copper scrub		\boxtimes			
	D) Durabond		\boxtimes			
	E) Other			ORGANIC OXIDE REPLACEMENT	1	

3.8	LAMINATION	YES	NO	MATERIA	GTW	APPLICATION TECHNIQUE
	A) High pressure	\boxtimes		TMP	1	
				26" X 30"		
	B) High temperature			TMP	1	
	C) Vacuum	\boxtimes		TMP	1	
	D) Vacuum assist	\boxtimes		TMP	1	
	E) Foil heat assist		\boxtimes			
	F) Separate cool-down	\boxtimes		TMP	1	
				RITE TEMP WATER CHILLER	1	
3.9	ELECTROLESS COPPER PLATING	YES	NO	EGUPNENT	gn	EGUPMENTUMTS
	A) Fully additive application					
	B) Electroless deposition (semiadditive)			IPS	1	
	C) Through-hole and via			IPS	1	
3.10	COPPER ELECTROPLATING	YES	NO	EGLEPMENT	QTV	EGUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Copper sulfate			EIDSHUN	1	
	B) Pyrophosphate		\boxtimes			
	C) Copper fluoborate		\boxtimes			
	D) Other		\boxtimes			
				<u> </u>		
3.11	TIN/LEAD SURFACE PLATINGS/COATINGS	YES	NO	EGIHPMENI	Q.T.	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Tin/lead electroplated		\boxtimes			
	B) Immersion tin or tin/lead (electroless)					SUBCONTRACTED
	C) Hot air solder leveled (HASL)			LANTRONIC TT30	1	VERTICAL

3.12	FUSING PROCESSES	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT STY EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) I.R. reflow			
	B) Hot oil reflow		\boxtimes	
	C) Horizontal (hot air level)			
	D) Vertical (hot air level)	\boxtimes		LANTRONIC TT30 1 VERTICAL
3.13	NICKEL SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT UTV EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Electroless nickel	\boxtimes		CUSTOM 1
	B) Electroplated nickel	\boxtimes		SELREX TABMASTER 1
3.14	GOLD SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	EGUPMENT QTY EQUPMENT LIMITS
	A) Immersion gold			CUSTOM 1
	B) Electroplated gold	\boxtimes		SELREX TABMASTER 1
3.15	PALLADIUM SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT CITY EQUIPMENT DATES
	Electroless palladium (immersion)	\boxtimes		
	B) Electroplated palladium			
3.16	SOLDERMASK	YES	NO	ECUPMENT DIV ECUPMENT LIMITS
	A) Screened deposited image			
	B) Dry film photoimageable			
	C) Liquid photoimageable	\boxtimes		TAIYO
	D) Dry film/liquid combination		\boxtimes	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3.17	ORGANIC SURFACE PROTECTION	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT CTY EQUIPMENT LINETS
	A) Benzotriazole		\boxtimes	
	B) Imidazole		\boxtimes	
	C) Benzimidazole		\boxtimes	

3.18	MICROSECTION CAPABILITY	YES	NO	EGLUPMENT	QTY.	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Manual			BUEHLER	1	
	B) Single cavity automated					
	C) Multiple cavity automated					
	D) Plating thickness analysis			UNITRON METALGRAPH WITH DIGITAL CAMERA	1	
3.19	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	YES	NO	EGLIPMENT	OTY	EGUPMENT LIMITS
	A) Etching chemistry			MISCELLANEOUS		
	B) Plating chemistry			MISCELLANEOUS		
	C) Effluent (PPM) analysis			MISCELLANEOUS		
		•	•			
3.20	ELECTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EGLIPMENT	OTY	EGUPMENT LIMITS
	A) Continuity and shorts	\boxtimes		TRACE 948	2	60 & 100V
				TTI DUAL GRID	1	250V
	B) Fixture development					
	C) Flying probe test	\boxtimes		MICROCRAFT	1	
				MANIA ULTIM8		
				Hi-Pot Testing available		
	D) Impedance control			ZMETRIX	1	

May 2004

MASTER EQUIPMENT LISTING

FORM MQP 10

Please complete a Master Equipment List. You may use your own form or the MQP Form 10.

PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT

DATE COMPLETED	
06/03/14	

SECTION 4

DATE COMPLETED	
06/03/14	

TECHNOLOGY PROFILE SPECIFICS

4.1 ADMINISTRATION

4.	1.1 CAPACITY PROFILE	ESTW	COMMENTS
A)	Total annual capacity in square meters (surface area) per month		
B)	Presently running at % of capacity	55%	

4.1.2	PERCENTAGE OF DOLLAR VOLUME		COMMENTS
	A) Single sided (rigid)	5	
	B) Double sided (rigid)	35	
	C) Multilayer (rigid)	60	
	D) Single side (unreinforced-flex)		
	E) Double sided (unreinforced-flex)		
	F) Multilayer (unreinforced-flex)		
	G) Multilayer (rigid/flex)		

4.1.3 PANEL PRODUCTION PROFILE	UNITS PER MONTH	***
A) Size of a production lot in pan-		
1) Normal	8	
2) Smallest	-3	
B) Number of panels per month		
High Production		
	3300	
2) Medium Production		
	2200	
3) Low Production		
	1100	
3) Short run		
	650	
4) Prototype		
	410	

C) Average lead time (delivery) as defined in B)			
1) High Production	2.5 W	eeks	
2) Medium Production	2 Wee	ks	
3) Low Production	2 Wee	ks	
3) Short run	3-5 Da	ays	
4) Prototype	1-5 Da	ays	
Quick turn - No. of days 3 Days.			
D) Product delivered in full panel or array sub-panel format			
Total in panel or array format			
2) Scored format			
3) Tab breakaway format			
4) Other			
5) Total to customer layout			
Total to manufacturing layout			
E) Product delivered in board format			
Total in board format			
2) Extracted: scored to size			
3) Extracted: sheared to size			
4) Extracted: routed to size			
4.1.4 APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION	YES	NO	COMMENTS
Company approvals			
1) UL approval			94V Level <u>. V</u> -0 FILE# E44075
2) Canadian standards			
3) MIL-P-55110			
4) MIL-P-50884		\boxtimes	
5) ISO-9002		\boxtimes	
6) ISO-9001			REGISTRATION # 04106711 Recertified - September 10, 2012

IPC-1710A

IPC-1710A

	7) ISO-14000			
	8) BABT			
	9) EEC			
1	0) Customer satisfaction			
B)	Other certification information			ITAR
	1)Laminate			
	2)Quality standards			
	3)Equipment calibration			
4.1.5 CU	STOMER INTERFACE PROFILE	YES	NO	COMMENTS
	Madam assability			
A)	Modem capability			
A) B)	Baud rate			
				DATA COMPARE – DESIGN RULE CHECK
В)	Baud rate			DATA COMPARE – DESIGN RULE CHECK ENGINEERING CONTROLLED
B)	Baud rate Data verification technique Engineering change order			
B) C) D)	Baud rate Data verification technique Engineering change order process			ENGINEERING CONTROLLED
B) C) D)	Baud rate Data verification technique Engineering change order process Job status reporting to customers HER CAPABILITIES			ENGINEERING CONTROLLED VIA EMAIL OR TELEPHONE
B) C) D) E)	Baud rate Data verification technique Engineering change order process Job status reporting to customers HER CAPABILITIES Facility research and			ENGINEERING CONTROLLED VIA EMAIL OR TELEPHONE
B) C) D) E) 4.1.6 OT A)	Baud rate Data verification technique Engineering change order process Job status reporting to customers HER CAPABILITIES Facility research and development (Automated) On-line shop floor	YES		ENGINEERING CONTROLLED VIA EMAIL OR TELEPHONE

4.2 PROCESS ORIENTATION

4.2.1 LAMINATE MATERIAL	EST'%	COMMENTS
A) Most commonly used laminates	Brand name ISOLA	Type FR-406HR
(G10, FR4, etc.)	Brand name ISOLA	Type 370 HR
	Brand name NELCO	Type 4000-29
	Brand name VENTEC	Type VT 47
	Brand name GRACE	Type GA-170-LL
	Brand name ISOLA	Type GETEK
	Brand name ROGERS	Type Various/Special
	Brand name ARLON	Type Various/Special
B) Other laminate material	TMM, Ceramic, Teflon	
Planar resistor layers	UL approved	
2) BT epoxy	UL approved	
3) Kevlar	UL approved	
4) Teflon	UL approved ROGERS	NON UL RATED PRODUCT
5) Polyimide	UL approved	
6) Cyanate ester	UL approved	
7) Other	UL approved	
C) Specification to which laminate is		
purchased (check all that apply)		
□MIL-P-13949 □IPC-4204		
☐IPC-4101 ☐UL Approved ☐IPC-4103 ☐Other		
□IPC-4103 □Other □IPC-4202		
□IPC-4202 □IPC-4203		
	+ +	
D) Laminate storage ☐ Uncontrolled	RIGID AND FOIL	
☐ Uncontrolled ☐ Humidity controlled	PREPREG	
☐ Temperature controlled	PREPREG	
Dry box	THE RES	
☐ Dry box ☐ JIT inventory	CONSIGNMENT INVENTORY	STORED ON SITE
E) Panel size configurations in X, Y dimesions	CONTRACTOR IN TENTOR I	2-2-2-2-3-1-2
maximum X <u>457</u> Y <u>610</u> mm		
minimum X <u>305</u> Y <u>457</u> mm		
other X Ymm		

4.2.2	PROCESS PRECISION SPECIFICS	YES	NO	VALUE		COMMENTS
	Maximum printed board thickness built in volume					
	1) Single sided	Х		.125"		
	2) Double sided	Х		.125"		
	3) Multilayer	Х		.140"		
	4) Rigid flex		Х			
	B) Printed board electrical performance capability					
	Impedance control			50, 75, 100 OHM		
	2) Capacitance control					
	3) Microstrip boards					
	C) Tooling system description					
	Same holes in panels used for all processes					
	2) Optical registration					
	3) Other					
		1	•			
4.2.3	OTHER PROCESS ORIENTATION SPECIFICS	YES	NO	\$4	STEM	CORMENTS
	A) Solder mask over bare copper					
	B) Plating/coating information					
	1) Tin/lead reflow					
	2) Hot air leveling	\boxtimes		VERTICAL		
	3) Azole organic					
	4) Conductive					
	C) Hole formation					
	1) Hole cleaning	\boxtimes				
	2) Hole cleanliness verified		\boxtimes			

4.3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

*CONSISTENCY IMPLIES YIELDS IN EXCESS OF 80%

4.3.1.	THROUGH HOLE INSERTION	EST %	SIZE (MM) TOL	COMMENTS
	Smallest conductor width and tolerance produced with consistency			
	Outer layers (print and etch)		Size 0.1 mm Tol ±mm	
	2) Inner layers (print and etch)		Size <u>0.1</u> mm Tol ±mm	
	3) Outer layers (plated)		Size <u>0.1</u> mm Tol ±mm	
	4) Inner layers (plated)	N/A	Sizemm Tol ±mm	
	5) Outer layers (additive plating)	N/A	Size mm Tol ±mm	
	6) Inner layers (additive plating)	N/A	Sizemm Tol ±mm	
	B) Smallest plated-through hole (PTH) and tolerance consistently produced in 1.5mm thickness material or multilayer board			
	Minimum PTH diameter		Size <u>0.25</u> mm Tol ±mm	
	Largest panel where this hole can be controlled (across diagonal)		Size <u>762</u> mm Tol ±mm	
	C) Largest hole size that can be drilled and plated through in a 1.25mm diameter land while maintaining an annular ring of 0.125mm in large/small boards			
	Largest board size (across diagonal)		Size <u>762</u> mm	
	2) Largest hole diameter		Size <u>1.0</u> mm	
	Smallest board size (across diagonal)		Size <u>25</u> mm	
	4) Largest hole diameter		Size <u>6</u> mm	
	D) Surface mount land pattern pitch (check all that apply) ⊠1.27mm [.050] ⊠0.63mm [.025] ⊠0.5mm [.020] ⊠0.4mm [.016] □0.3mm [.012] □0.25mm [.010] □Other			

	E) Solder mask dam between lands (check all that apply)					
	(check all that apply) ⊠1.27mm [.050]					
	□ 1.27mm [.030] □ 0.05mm [.025] □ 0.5mm [.020] □ 0.4mm [.016]					
	_					
L	Other .0025" GREEN, .005" BLACK .	or				
	 F) Flatness tolerance (bow & twist) aft reflow or solder coating 	.ei				
	□1.5% □1.0% □0.5% ⊠Other <u>.75</u>	<u>%</u>				
	4.3.2 PRODUCT QUALITATIVE AND	YES	NO	QUANTITY OF		COMMENTS
	A) Multilayer layer count			PANELS	DIMENSION	
					1.4	
	Maximum layers fabricated in volume (Maximum Lot)				14	
	Maximum layers fabricated in prototype (Minimum Lot)				14	
	B) Buried vias produced consistently in volume					
	1) Size					
	2) Number of layers					
	B) Blind vias produced consistently in volume					
	1) Size					
	,					
	2) Number of layers					
	Controlled depth drilling					
	2) Total number of layers					
	4.4. TESTING CAPABILITY					
	4.4.1 TEST AND TEST EQUIPMENT CAPABILITY	YES	NO			COMMENTS
l	SMT centerline pitch that can be					
	electrically tested			.016 pitch and	up can be fixtu	are testing – bed of nails
	□ 0.63mm [.025] □ 0.5mm [.020]			-		-
				.012 and less m	nust be tested of	on Flying Probe Equipment
l	B) Double sided simultaneous	\boxtimes		Flying Probe &		
	electrical testing			, - <u>,</u> - 100 0 0		
ľ	Equipment type	\boxtimes			SINGLE SIDE	ED (DEDICATED FIXTURING) AND FLYING
L				PROBE		
	X-ray fluorescence inspection equipment	\boxtimes		CMI INTERNA	ATIONAL	
	3) TDR equipment			ZMETRIX		
	4) Hi-pot test equipment					
	5) Four-wire kelvin tester					

IPC-1710A					May 2004
6)	Capacitance meter		\boxtimes		
7)	Cleanliness testing	\boxtimes		SPECIALTY COATING SYSTEMS	
				500M STD NEW FEB 2007	

	UTOMATED OPTICAL INSPECTION SAGE	EST	COMMENTS
Δ	Before etching	0	
Е	3) After etching	0	
C	c) Internal layers	100%	SIGNAL LAYERS POWER & GROUND LAYERS
С) Final inspection	0	TOWER & GROUND EATERS
E	Other	0	
F	Conductor/clearance normally inspected by AOI equipment		
	1) 🗌 0.05mm [.002]	N/A	
	2) 🛭 0.0510mm [.002004]	100%	INTERNAL LAYERS
	3) 🛚 >.10mm [.004]	100%	INTERNAL LAYERS
	4) 🛛 Planes	50&	INTERNAL LAYERS
G	CAD download to AOI	100%	

SECTION 5 QUALITY PROFILE

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	
06/15/15	

GENERAL INFORMATION				
COMPANY NAME				
SAE CIRCUITS COLORADO INC.				
CONTACT				
GARY WARNER				
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER			
303-530-1900	303-530-0210			

This section of the Manufacturer's Qualification Profile is intended to describe the Total Quality Management (TQM) activity in place of being implemented at the manufacturing facility identified in the site description of this MQP.

To ease in the task of identifying the TQM program being planned or underway at the manufacturing site, the activities have been divided into twenty sections which when completed, provide the total picture of the posture toward managing quality issues. Each section contains a number of questions with regard to the topic under review.

It is not the intent to have the questions be all encompassing, nor is every question applicable to all manufacturers. However, identification of the status, related to each questions, when considered as a whole will convey an impression of the progress that the company has achieved in adopting the principles of total quality management.

The twenty sections, in order of the occurrence are:

5.1	General Quality Programs	5.11	Statistical Process Control
	New Products/Technical Services	5.12	Problem Solving
5.3	Customer Satisfaction	5.13	In-Process Control
5.4	Computer Integrated Manufacturing	5.14	Receiving Inspection
5.5	Process Documentation	5.15	Material Handling
5.6	Quality Records	5.16	Non-Conforming Material Control
5.7	Skill, Training & Certification	5.17	Inspection and Test Plan
5.8	Subcontractor Control	5.18	Product Inspection/Final Audit
5.9	Calibration Control	5.19	Tooling Inspection, Handling, & Storage
5.10	Internal Audits	5.20	Corrective Action

Each section provides a status report related to each question. The question may not be applicable, no activity has started as yet, or the company may have developed an approach to the issues raised by the questions. An (X) is indicated in the appropriate column. If deployment/implementation has started, the status is reported as percent deployment; this is indicated in column 4. The percentage number closely approximates the status of deployment. If deployment exists, the percentage results that have been achieved is indicated in column 5. Results are based on expected goals. Not providing percent information in either the deployment or results column implies a lack of activity in the particular area.

The quality descriptions requested are completed on the following pages by checking (X) the appropriate column to reflect the status of the manufacturing facility TQM program. Additional information may be provided as comments shown below, or on individual sections, or additional sheets as necessary.

COMMENTS		

	5.1 GENERAL QUALITY PROGRAMS			STATU		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are quality objectives and responsibilities clearly stated, widely distributed and understood through the company?			·	100	100
2.	Is there a quality function or well defined organization which provides customer advocate guidance to the total organization and is this position fully supported by management?				100	100
3.	Does a quality measurement system exist with clearly defined metrics and is it utilized as a management tool?				100	100
4.	Are work instructions approved and controlled; and are they under revision control?				100	100
5.	Are the quality procedures and policies current and available at the point of application; and are they under revision control?				100	100
6.	Are benchmark and customer satisfaction studies done to determine best in class for all products, services, and administrative functions; and are quality goals set?				100	100
7.	Are Statistical Process Control (SPC) principles understood by all levels of management?				100	100
8.	Are there programs with sufficient resources assigned to support corrective actions and prevention?				100	100
9.	Does management solicit and accept feedback from the work force?				100	100
10.	Is there management support of ongoing training (including quality training), and is it documented by an organizational training plan?				100	100
11.	Are there regular management reviews of elements of the quality improvement process, including feedback for corrective action, and are the results acted upon?				100	100
12.	Are the quality and reliability goals aggressive relative to customer expectations and targeted at continuous improvement?				100	100
13.	Are the people who are responsible for administering the quality assurance function technically informed?				100	100
14.	Does Management have a "defect prevention" attitude to achieve continuous improvement?				100	100

	5.2 NEW PRODUCTS/TECHNICAL SERVICES			STATUS		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Do new product/technology/service development policies and procedures exist, and do they result in clearly defined project plans with appropriate measureables and approvals?		X			
2.	Is quantitative benchmarking used to evaluate all new products/technologies/services in comparison to best-in-class offerings?		X			
3.	Does a roadmap exist to ensure continued development of leading edge, best-in-class products/technology/services?			X	20	
4.	Is the capability of each operation which controls critical-to-function characteristics for new products, fully certified?		X			
5.	Are statistical tools used in the development of robust (high yield) new processes, products, and services?		X			
6.	When new product/technology/service requires a new process, is it developed jointly and concurrently with the customer and/or suppliers?				100	100
7.	Are design reviews conducted on a scheduled basis which properly address the process capability indices of critical-to-function and product/service characteristics?				100	100
8.	Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the process, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements?				100	100

COMMENTS	

	5.3 CUSTOMER SATISFACTION			STATUS	3	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Is there a measurement system in place to assess the customer's perception of complete performance?					100
2.	Is an independent (unbiased) customer survey routinely conducted?	Х				
3.	Is there an internal measurement system within the organization which correlates to the level of customer satisfaction?					100
4.	Are there specific goals for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction, both internal and external?			X	50	
5.	To what extent are customer satisfaction goals disseminated and understood by everyone in the organization?					100
6.	Does management regularly review and assess all operating systems to determine if barriers to customer satisfaction exist and are appropriate action plans then implemented?					100
7.	Is there a method in place to obtain future customer requirements?					100
8.	Are all findings of customer dissatisfaction reported back to the proper organization for analysis and corrective action?					100
9.	Are customer satisfaction requirements formally defined and documented, and are they based on customer input?					100
10.	Do all support organizations understand their role in achieving total customer satisfaction?					100

	5.4 COMPUTER INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING			STATU:	i	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are systems integrated to allow electronic transfer of information between multiple systems to eliminate redundant data entry?	X				
2.	Can customers electronically transfer CAD/CAM directly into manufacturing?yes					100
3.	Can customers electronically transfer order information directly into the business system?	X				
4.	Is data electronically shared between shop floor control and process control systems (i.e., CNC, SPC, Electrical Test, AOI, etc.)?					100
5.	Are planning systems (MRP, forecasting, capacity planning, financial planning, etc.) electronically integrated with operation systems (order processing, purchasing, inventory management, shop floor control, financial/cost control, etc.)?					100
6.	Is information available from system processes in real time (vs. batch processing)?yes					100
7.	Are processes and procedures documented and available on-line?					100
8.	Do all functional departments have system access to key financial, manufacturing, sales, and operational data, as it relates to their functional objectives?yes					100
9.	Are computer simulation and design tools used to the maximum extent practicable in the design of new products/technologies/services	X				

COMMENTS

11 0	1/10/1				may.	2001
	5.5 PROCESS DOCUMENTATION			STATUS	3	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are manufacturing product, process, and configuration documents under issue control?	, фр.:салс	Ctantou	Developed	100	100
2.	Are "preliminary" and "special product" specifications controlled?				100	100
3.	Does the system ensure that the most current customer specifications are available to the manufacturing personnel?				100	100
4.	Does the system ensure that the most current material specifications are available to the procurement function?				100	100
5.	Are incoming orders reviewed for revisions and issue changes?				100	100
6.	Is conformance to customer specifications assured before an order is accepted?				100	100
7.	Is customer feedback provided when designs do not meet manufacturability requirements?				100	100
8.	Are critical characteristics classified, relative to impact on product performance?				100	100
9.	Are customers informed of changes made to products controlled by customer drawings or specifications?				100	100
10.	Is there an effective internal deviation control procedure and, are customer requested deviations documented and followed?				100	100
11.	Do new product development procedures exist, and are they followed in the design development process?	X				

	5.6 QUALITY RECORDS			STATUS	į	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are records of inspection and process control maintained and available for review?	Түртеге			100	100
2.	Are records of equipment and equipment maintenance kept?				100	100
3.	Is the record and sample retention program defined?				100	100
4.	Are quality data used as a basis for corrective action?				100	100
5.	Are quality data used in reporting performance and trends to management?				100	100
6.	Are quality data used in supporting certifications of quality furnished to customers?				100	100
7.	Is field information used for corrective action?				100	100
8.	Does a cost of quality measurement system exist?	X				
9.	Are customer reported quality problems responded to, and resolved in the time period requested?				100	100
10.	Is quality information on production material rejects provided to sub-suppliers with required corrective action?				100	100
11.	Are computers used to collect and analyze quality data?				100	100

JUMMENIO

	5.7 SKILLS, TRAINING, & CERTIFICATION			STATU:	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Does management ensure that all personnel are trained in their role for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction?				100	100
2.	Do all personnel understand how their performance impacts internal and external customer satisfaction?				100	100
3.	Do all personnel who contact external customers reflect quality improvement programs?				100	100
4.	Do personnel participate in professional societies and growth programs?	X				
5.	Are all personnel trained in sufficient detail to support key initiatives?				100	100
6.	Are the results of training evaluated and indicated program changes made?				100	100
7.	Does a policy exist which encourages the cross training and rotation of personnel, and is this policy used as the basis of job progression?		X			
8.	Are performance standards participatively developed, and regularly applied for all personnel?	X				
9.	Are Total Customer Satisfaction programs and resulting successes publicized to all personnel?				100	100
10.	Do goal setting and reward/incentive programs support the quality improvement process?				100	100

	5.8 SUBCONTRACTOR CONTROL			STATUS	ı	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are requirements defined, communicated, and updated to ensure that the supplier understands expectations?				100	100
2.	Does a system exist which measures the performance of the supplier and communicates such information to the supplier? (i.e., supplier rating system)		X			
3.	Have the organization's processes been characterized to identify the critical requirements for the suppliers products?				100	100
4.	Have the capabilities of the supplier's processes been assessed and considered in the establishment of the requirements?				15	100
5.	Have partnerships been established with suppliers, and is assistance provided to ensure that each supplier has the capability to consistently supply conforming products?				100	100
6.	Have quality and cycle time metrics and improvement goals been established participatively with the supplier?				100	100
7.	Has a system been established with the supplier for identification and verification of corrective action?				100	100
8.	Have the requirements for supplier materials been properly characterized and specified to ensure conformance of the product/service to the customer satisfaction requirements?				100	100
9.	Is there a supplier certification program or equivalent procured material/service continuous quality improvement program?	X				
10.	Can all personnel who contract suppliers properly reflect appropriate quality improvement programs and status to them?				100	100

COMMEN	15		

	1/10/1				Iviay .	2001
	5.9 CALIBRATION CONTROL			STATUE	\$	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are calibration and preventative maintenance programs in place and documented?				100	100
2.	Are calibration and maintenance personnel trained?				100	100
3.	Is traceability to NIST maintained?				100	100
4.	Is quality measurement and control equipment current, effective, and sufficiently integrated with production equipment?				100	100
5.	Is the history of quality measurement and control equipment documented?				100	100
6.	Has repeatability of measuring devices and inspection or testing processes been established and monitored; are gauge capability studies conducted and GR&R ratios acceptable(<10%)?				100	100
7.	Are calibration and preventative maintenance cycles on schedule?				100	100
8.	Is the use of non-calibrated equipment for design and production purposes prohibited?				100	100
9.	Are tools and fixtures used as criteria or acceptability of product/work fully qualified and identified?				100	100
10.	Are calibration intervals defined in accordance with industry standards or manufacturer's recommendations and the calibration history of the equipment?				100	100

	5.10 INTERNAL AUDITS			STATUE		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent Results
1.	Are regular reviews of the product/process conducted and are goals/plans established to continually improve?	Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed 100	100
2.	Are the processes/products properly documented and controlled? Do they include appropriate customer requirements and are they executed in conformance to the documentation?				100	100
3.	Are the required quality checks built into the operations within the manufacturing, field installation, and service process, and is the resulting data maintained and promptly acted upon?				100	100
4.	Are all pertinent methods of statistical quality control properly, effectively and efficiently used?				100	100
5.	Does a process change control system exist, and are customers informed of changes made to products and processes with customer approval prior to the change, when required?				100	100
6.	Are the operators within the process provided with written work instructions and are they trained? On line work instructions				100	100
7.	Is the receipt, handling, storage, packaging and release of all material, including customer provided items, at all stages, specified and controlled to prevent damage or deterioration, and to address obsolete material?				100	100
8.	Is there a first in/first out (FIFO) system in place, and is it followed?				100	100

COMMENTS		

	5.11 STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL			STATU!		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Have the personnel who will be responsible for guiding the implementation of SPC been designated?			X		
2.	Are statistical techniques used to reduce variation in the engineering process before the start of production?		X			
3.	Is the quality system dependent upon process rather than product controls?	X				
4.	Is the capability of critical processes and machines measured and monitored with CPK's >1.5, and targeted with CP of 2.0?			X		50
5.	Are incapable processes or machines targeted for improvement or replacement?			X		50
6.	Is SPC implemented for all critical processes?			X		50
7.	Are procedures that control the reaction to out-of-control situations adequate and effective?				100	100
8.	Are operators trained in the use of appropriate statistical techniques, and are they properly applying them?				100	100
9.	Are advanced problem solving techniques used by engineers to solve problems? (Design of Experiments, planned experimentation, advanced diagnostic tools, etc.)		X			
10.	Are control charts and other process controls properly implemented?				100	100
11.	Is statistical process control being practiced in work centers and are yields being recorded and plotted on a scheduled basis, with respect to upper and lower control limits?				100	100

	5.12 PROBLEM SOLVING			STATUE		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are employees trained in problem solving techniques, in comparison to the needs of the organization?	Applicable	X	Developed	Deployed	Results
2.	Does the organization utilize participative problem solving techniques to identify, measure and resolve internal and external problems?				100	100
3.	Are problem solving efforts timely and effective?				100	100
4.	Are applied resources sufficient to remove problem solving constraints?				100	100
5.	Are statistical techniques used for problem solving?				100	100
6.	Are quality data used to identify barriers, and to determine the priority of problems?				100	100
7.	Is there a policy/procedure that includes the use of problem solving techniques to systematically drive reduction in variability?				100	100

COMMENTS		

	5.13 IN-PROCESS CONTROL			STATU:	3	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are process capabilities established and maintained on all major processes? (critical parameters)	Арріюавіє	Clarted	Developed	100	100
2.	Are in-process inspections, test operations, and processes properly specified and performed?				100	100
3.	Are in-process inspection facilities and equipment adequate?				100	100
4.	Are the results of in-process inspections used in the promotion of effective preventative action and corrective action?				100	100
5.	Is preventative maintenance performed on the equipment and facilities?				100	100
6.	Are housekeeping procedures adequate and how well are they followed?				100	100
7.	Are process management plans established, and are critical parameters followed?				100	100
8.	Are work areas uncluttered and free of excess work-in-process, supplies, debris, etc? Is the environment conductive to producing quality work? Is proprietary information adequately protected?				100	100
9.	Are certifications and in-process inspection results used in making final acceptance decisions?				100	100
10.	Are methods and procedures for the control of metallurgical, chemical, and other special processes established and followed?				100	100

5.14 RECEIVING INSPECTION				STATUS	j	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are receiving inspection facilities and equipment adequately and properly maintained?				100	100
2.	Are receiving inspection procedures documented and followed?				100	100
3.	Are receiving inspection results used for corrective and preventive action?				100	100
4.	Are the procedures for storage and timely disposition of discrepant material in place and followed?				100	100

CCMMENTS		

	5.15 MATERIAL HANDLING		ŧ	STATUS	j.	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are procured material releases from receiving inspection clearly identified, as to acceptance status?			,	100	100
2.	Are procedures to facilitate limited life materials, such as prepreg, in place, properly controlled, and monitored?				100	100
3.	Are procured items identified with some means of traceability (serial number, lot number, date code, etc.)?				100	100
4.	Are procedures and facilities adequate for storage, release and control of materials?				100	100
5.	Are in-store and in-process materials properly identified and controlled?				100	100
6.	Is in-process material protected from corrosion, deteriorization, and damage?				100	100

	5.16 NON-CONFORMING MATERIAL CONTROL			STATUS	i.	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Is non-conforming material identified, segregated from regular production material, and properly dispositioned?				100	100
2.	Are non-conforming materials properly identified and controlled to prevent inadvertent use?				100	100
3.	Is the review and disposition of non-conforming materials defined, and are provisions made for inclusion of the customer in disposition decision?				100	100
4.	Are procedures for controlling non-conforming materials, and for ensuing corrective action, in place and followed?				100	100
5.	Do procedures provide for material review by a committee consisting of Quality and Engineering (as a minimum), to determine the disposition of non-conforming materials? (deviating from drawings or specification)				100	100
6.	Do supplier's procedures and controls for corrective action prevent recurrence of non-conformances?				100	100
7.	Is there a system for coordinating necessary corrective action with purchasing personnel?				100	100
8.	Does the corrective action extend to all applicable causes of non-conformance (e.g., design, workmanship, procedures, equipment, etc.)?				100	100

COMMENTS	

	5.17 INSPECTION AND TEST PLAN			STATUS		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are statistical techniques used in determining the acceptability of finished goods to customer requirements?	X				
2.	Are periodic tests conducted to audit reliability and environmental performance of the final product?	X				
3.	Is CPK tracking performed for critical characteristics, with plans to achieve CPK = 1.5 with a target of CP of 2.0?	X				
4.	Is root cause failure analysis performed for internal and external failures, and is appropriate corrective action implemented?				100	100
5.	Are test and inspection personnel trained in the procedures of their operations, and are those procedures being followed?				100	100
6.	Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the processes, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements?				100	100

	5.18 PRODUCT INSPECTION/FINAL AUDIT			STATUS		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent
		Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed	Results
1.	Are final product acceptance procedures documented and followed?				100	100
2.	Are all specific customer product audits conducted, as required?				100	100
3.	Are inspectors trained for the tasks performed?				100	100
4.	Are flow charts or milestones developed with checkpoints readily available?	X				
5.	Is a system in place which denotes inspection performed; e.g., use of initials, stamps, labels, bar codes, etc., affixed to production documentation?				100	100
6.	Is a quality system established and maintained for control of product/production documentation?				100	100
7.	Is "accept/reject" criteria defined and available for use?				100	100
8.	Is a final audit performed to ensure that all required verifications and tests, from receipt of materials through point of product completion, have been accomplished?				100	100
9.	Are packing and order checking procedures documented and followed?				100	100

9. Are packing and order checking procedures documented and followed?

100

100

	5.19 TOOLING INSPECTION, HANDLING, & STORAGE			STATU		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are temperature, humidity, laminar flow controls in place to prevent contamination, and to assure dimensional stability?			X	25	100
2.	Do operators use hairnets, gloves & lab coats in all photolab and photoexposure areas?				100	100
3.	Are work instructions and related forms in place to control all applicable tooling requirements, as stated in the customer's purchase order?				100	100
4.	Are customer provided artworks controlled with regard to handling, storage, revision control and relationship to converted production phototools (working films)?				100	100
5.	Are production phototools (working films) controlled with regard to handling, storage, use life, and relationship to customer purchase order?				100	100
6.	Are customer provided artworks and production phototools (working films) inspected, including dimensional checks?				100	100
7.	Are all tools, fixtures, and other devices, used for tooling inspection and control, maintained under the calibration control procedure?	X				
8.	Are records showing initial acceptance, periodic checks, and any needs for rework and/or modification available?				100	100

	5.20 CORRECTIVE ACTION			STATUS	ı	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are final acceptance inspection results used for corrective and preventative action?				100	100
2.	Is root-cause analysis performed for non-conformances? This includes, but is not limited to, non-conformances (problems) caused by suppliers, found/caused "in-house" during processing, or those reported by the customer.				100	100
3.	Is positive action taken to prevent recurrence of problems, and are there documented reports/records of each occasion?				100	100
4.	Do procedures and systems provide for ensuring that replies are made to customer requests for correction action within the time limit specified?				100	100
5.	Is corrective action controlled and documented for all applicable work centers?				100	100
6.	When corrections are made, is their effectiveness subsequently reviewed and monitored?				100	100

COMPARATION CO.		

SECTION 6 (CHECK ONE IN EACH LINE THAT APPLIES) MANUFACTURING HISTORY (See Section 2 Site Capability)

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{DATE COMPLETED} \\ 06/15/15 \end{array}$

Please complete as many history profiles so that the total descriptions of products you manufacture account for production orders that reflect 70% of your business. History profiles are for board or board family (board types may be grounded together if they are similar).

BOARD TYPE	DATE OF ORDER	MATERIAL	HISTORY #
VIA TYPE	PRODUCTION QUANTITY	TOTAL YEARLY PRODUCTION %	

Dimensions in millimeters (inches in brackets)

Dimensions in minimeters (inches in brackets)					
BOARD		HOLES			
BOARD SIZE DIAGONAL	TOTAL BOARD THICKNESS	NUMBER CONDUCTIVE LAYERS	DIA DRILLED HOLES	TOTAL PTH TOL (MAX-MIN)	LOCATION TOL DTP
⊠<250 [<10.00]	⊠<1,0 [<.040]	⊠1-4 [1-4]	⊠>0,5 [>.020]	□>0,250 [> .010]	□>0,50 [>.020]
⊠250 [10.00]	⊠1,0 [.040]	⊠5-6 [5-6]	⊠0,5 [.020]	□0,250 [.010]	□0,50 [.020]
⊠350 [14.00]	⊠1,6 [.060]	⊠7-8 [7-8]	⊠0,4 [.016]	□0,200 [.008]	□0,40 [.016]
⊠450[17.50]	□2,0 [.080]	⊠9-12 [9-12]	⊠0,35 [.014]	□0,150 [.006]	⊠0,30 [.012]
⊠550 [21.50]	⊠2,5 [.100]	⊠13-16 [13-16]	⊠0,30 [.012]	⊠0,125 [.005]	⊠0,25 [.010]
⊠650 [25.50]	⊠3,5 [.135]	□17-20 [17-20]	⊠0,25 [.010]	□0,100 [.004]	⊠0,20 [.008]
⊠750 [29.50]	□5,0 [.200]	□21-24 [21-24]	□0,20 [.008]	⊠0,075 [.003]	⊠0,15 [.006]
□850 [33.50]	□6,5 [.250]	□25-28 [25-28]	□0,15 [.006]	⊠0,050 [.002]	□0,10 [.004]
□>850 [>33.50]	□>6,5 [>.250]	□>28 [>28]	□<0,15 [.006]	□<0,050 [<.002]	□<0,10 [<.004]
☐Other:	☐Other:	□Other:	□Other:	□Other:	☐Other:

CONDUCTORS						
INTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN)	INTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN)	INTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE	EXTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN)	EXTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN)	EXTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE	FEATURE LOCATION DTP
⊠>0,350 [>.014]	⊠>0,250 [>.010]	□>0,100 [>.004]	⊠>0,350 [>.014]	⊠>0,250 [>.010]	□>0,100 [>.004]	□>0,50 [>.020]
⊠0,350 [.014]	⊠0,250 [.010]	□0,100 [.004]	⊠0,350 [.014]	⊠0,250 [.010]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,50 [.020]
⊠0,250 [.010]	⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,075 [.003]	⊠0,250 [.010]	⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,075 [.003]	□0,40 [.016]
⊠0,200 [.008]	⊠0,150 [.006]	⊠0,050 [.002]	⊠0,200 [.008]	⊠0,150 [.006]	⊠0,050 [.002]	⊠0,30 [.012]
⊠0,150 [.005]	⊠0,125 [.005]	□0,040 [.0015]	⊠0,150 [.006]	⊠0,125 [.005]	□0,040 [.0015]	⊠0,25 [.010]
⊠0,125 [.005]	⊠0,100 [.004]	□0,030 [.0012]	⊠0,125 [.005]	⊠0,100 [.004]	□0,030 [.0012]	⊠0,20 [.008]
⊠0,100 [.004]	□0,075 [.003]	⊠0,025 [.001]	⊠0,100 [.004]	□0,075 [.003]	⊠0,025 [.001]	⊠0,15 [.006]
□0,075 [.003]	□0,050 [.002]	⊠0,020 [.0008]	□0,075 [.003]	□0,050 [.002]	⊠0,020 [.0008]	□0,10 [.004]
□<0,075 [<.003]	□<0,050 [<.002]	□<0,020 [<.0008]	□<0,075 [<.003]	□<0,050 [<.002]	□<0,020 [<.008]	□<0,10 [<.004]
☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	□Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:

SECTION 7

*REPEAT THIS FORM AS NECESSARY

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	

IDENTIFICATION OF PREVIOUS AUDITS (Optional)

Please complete as many forms as you feel reflect the intensity of your customer visits.				
COMPANY AUDITORS	DATE OF AUDIT			
AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS	ALIDITOD DEMADICO			
AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS	AUDITOR REMARKS			
	SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT			
LENGHT OF AUDIT				
TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT				
COMPANY AUDITORS	DATE OF AUDIT			
COMI ANT AUDITORO	DATE OF AUDIT			
AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS	AUDITOR REMARKS			
	SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT			
LENGHT OF AUDIT				
LENGHT OF AUDIT				
TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT				
COMPANY AUDITORS	DATE OF AUDIT			
AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS	ALIDITOD DEMADICO			
AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS	AUDITOR REMARKS			
	SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT			
LENGHT OF AUDIT				
TEAN MEMBERO MAY BE CONTACT AT				
TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACT AT				

SECTION 8

DATE COMPLETED	
06/15/15	

FINANCIAL REVIEW (OPTIONAL)

Please complete the following financial information that coincides with the company description and site information provided in section 1.

information provided in section 1:			
COMPANY FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION			
LEGAL NAME			
SAE CIRCUITS COLORADO, INC.			
TAXPAYER ID NUMBER	DUNS NUMBER	TRADING SYMBOL	
841134990	620651802		
ANNUAL SALES	PRIOR YEAR	YEAR-TO-DATE	
>See the attached letter on our financial disclosure	2008		
policy			
FISCAL YEAR			
November 1 to October 31			
BANK	ACCOUNT NUMBER		
JP Morgan Chase	See financial disclosure letter for bank contact		
-	information.		
BANK ADDRESS	STATE	ZIP	
1301 Canyon Blvd	Boulder, CO	80302	
PROVINCE	COUNTRY		
	United States of America		
BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER		
COMMENTS			

SITE FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION		
SITE NAME		
SAME		
TAXPAYER ID NUMBER	DUNS NUMBER	TRADING SYMBOL
ANNUAL SALES	PRIOR YEAR	YEAR-TO-DATE
FISCAL YEAR		
FISCAL YEAR		
BANK	ACCOUNT NUMBER	
BANK ADDRESS	STATE	ZIP
PROVINCE	COUNTRY	<u> </u>
BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	
0014451470		
COMMENTS		

May 2004 IPC-1710A

SECTION 9

MQP ELECTRONIC EDITING

This MS Word template comes with editable fields. IPC has made this electronic document available for ease of completing, updating, and filing the MQP, as well as to give the laminate manufacturer and customer a common interface. Using the template enables laminate manufacturers to maintain several customer specific files without the endless stream of paperwork.

Editable fields are highlighted in gray. To complete the fields in the template, use the TAB key to toggle from field to field, entering the information as instructed in the introductory text for each section.

The developers of this MQP strongly suggest the person at the laminate manufacturing facility responsible for creating and maintaining the MQP write protect the file to be sent.